United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | IG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/561,451 | 10/561,451 12/20/2005 | | Jose De Jesus Pineda De Gyvez | NL 030713 | 6439 | |
| 65913 NXP, B.V. | 7590 | 06/28/2007 | | EXAMINER | | |
| • | LECTUAL I | PROPERTY DE | CHARIOUI, MOHAMED | | | |
| M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKA | VDDIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| SAN JOSE, | | | 2857 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | • | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | | 06/28/2007 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/561,451 | PINEDA DE GYVEZ ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Mohamed Charioui | 2857 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | PATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 E | December 2005. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | s action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application | ·. 1 . | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | • | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2005 is/a | |] objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | | • | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price. | | · · | | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | received. | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| | • | • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | nformal Patent Application | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

1. Abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not commence in a separate page.

A brief abstract of the technical disclosure in the specification must commence on a separate sheet, preferably following the claims, under the heading "Abstract" or "Abstract of the Disclosure." The sheet or sheets presenting the abstract may not include other parts of the application or other material. The abstract in an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111 may not exceed 150 words in length. The purpose of the abstract is to enable the United States Patent and Trademark Office and the public generally to determine quickly from a cursory inspection the nature and gist of the technical disclosure. See MPEP 608.01 (b)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reynick (U.S. Patent No. 6,714,032).

Art Unit: 2857

As per claims 1-3 and 11, Reynick teaches applying a plurality of different DC power supply voltages to a circuit component under test, at least one of said power supply voltages being arranged to cause at least some of the elements of the circuit or component under test to operate in a predetermined region of operation; and measuring the quiescent current of said circuit or component as a result of application of said power supply voltages to generate a current signature representative of the operation of said circuit or component (see col. 6, lines 10-56 and col. 11, lines 14-40), the method being characterized in that said power supply voltages at which said quiescent current measurements are taken comprise selected distinct voltages, and comparing said generated current signature with a predetermined current 'signature representative of operation of a fault-free component or circuit so as to determine whether or not any faults are present in the component or circuit under test (see col. 6, lines 57-67).

As per claims 4 and 5, Reynick further teaches providing a single supply voltage means and ramping said supply voltage up to attain each of said selected power supply voltages, prior to measurement of the quiescent current (see col. 13, lines 25-58).

As per claim 6, Reynick further teaches a fault dictionary database is provided, and the method includes the further step of comparing a generated current signature with contents of such a database to diagnose one or more faults present in the circuitry under test (see col. 7, lines 20-46 and col. 8, lines 14-24).

Art Unit: 2857

As per claims 7 and 8, Reynick further teaches a tolerance window is defined for the resultant quiescent current measurements for at least one the selected power supply voltages (see col. 8, lines 25-39 and col. 10, lines 10-40).

As per claims 9 and 10, Reynick further teaches a computer program for enabling the method of claim 1 to be performed (see col. 16, lines 32-46 and col. 20, lines 17-23).

Prior art

3. The prior art made record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Gattiker et al. ['222] disclose testing using independently controllable voltage islands.

Runas et al. ['077] disclose circuits, systems and methods for testing asic and ram memory.

Binkley et al. ['729] disclose method and apparatus for testing electronic circuits.

Wendell [601] discloses memory array sense amplifier test and characterization.

Walker et al. ['389] disclose system and method for detecting quiescent current in an integrated circuit.

Ishibashi ['138] discloses semiconductor integrated circuit device.

Contact information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohamed Charioui whose telephone number

Art Unit: 2857

is (571) 272-2213. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, from 9 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano can be reached on (571) 272-7925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohamed Charioui

6/21/07

